

Can AI Model Entangled Holistic Moral-Ethical Behavior?

Michael Mendizza

Touch the Future's 50 years of embodied insights distill into what Joseph Chilton Pearce called 'The Model Imperative.' A precursor to epigenetics by 50 years. "No opening and development of a near infinite potential of capacity occurs without being triggered and demonstrated of value by the model-environment." Monkey do monkey see, or not.

For 99% to 95% of human evolution that model was the vast diversity of creation or nature. The neocortex is believed to mature 50,000 years ago, with civilization 10,000 years ago, and with that abstract mental images took precedent over primary perception. Paganism, the goddess, nature was raped by theistic mental constructs. Embodied Adam and Eve were tossed out of the garden, along with David Bohm's infinite potential and replaced with reified imagination.

What very few appreciated, and still do not, is that the neocortex draws upon primary processing for its context and therefor imagined constructions. Simply, moral and ethical perception and action is dependent on the full development of primary perception (the physical and emotional-empathic brain systems), not on abstract imagination, will or cohesion, the realms of the ego-intellect, reflexive behavior, and also, for our context, data driven mechanical learning systems.

After the genocide of the Gnostics, and rise of theistic religions with their endless wars, René Descartes (1596-1650) is the tipping point, followed by the industrial and our current technological revolutions. Machines and machinelike behavior, with medieval witch hunt, bible pounding violence, beheaded the shapeshifting shamanic, oracular, empathic-entangled, dreamtime mind that had served human evolution for 99% of its tenure on earth. Columbus, the Church and Colonies literally boiled that indigenous mind in oil. Compulsory, factory like schooling followed, in a devolutionary spiral that continues to expand today.

Nature's agenda is diversity and expanding capacity, inclusive and integrative. The rape of nature by the intellect is devolutionary. We reap what we sew. C sections, plastic nipples, factory baby formula, physically restrained infants handed iPads, a computer on every desk in, deeply conditioning and reflexive compulsory factory schooling, screen based social media, and AI generated everything, all increasing forms of sensory deprivation of the primary brain systems that undermine the capacity essential for truly moral, ethical, sustainable behavior, or critical-creative anything. Emerson lamented in 1850; "the weaver becomes the web. The machinist a machine." Joseph Chilton Pearce followed: "Entire human capacities can be lost in a single generation by eliminating the models necessary to open and develop those capacities, and the offspring will never know what they are missing." The only reality possible is what machines construct. Nature's and Bohm's infinite potential doesn't appear on the screen. That potential is of a different order. Technology screens out "the force," the sacred, the unnamable, never to be seen again.

"The Tao that can be named is not the eternal name. The name is the beginning of 10,000 things, (conflict and chaos)," Lao Tzu, 600 BC. A simile for Krishnamurti's "Freedom from the Known." As described below, my primary concern regarding AI is developmental, not cognitive.

In this context the vast majority of humanity, growing up in this sensory model deprived world are stunted, retarded. This 'original mind,' is indeed missing. Nearly all are color blind to eminence, the direct perception of the sacred (infinite potential) in life and its diversity. Machines can never provide the sensory experience necessary to open, develop and embody that highest of all bonding or mystical experience. Neither can all the 250,000 pages of Krishnamurti books or endless hours of videos. What the so-called teachings' point to is not in the books or videos. Krishnamurti and the machines, like The Force in the Star Wars saga, must be set aside to discover what 'Freedom from the Know' feels like, as a new state of perception and empathic-entangled relationship.

That fully understood, why did Krishnamurti speak, and is there the same potential using AI to accomplish the same? YES, appreciating that what Krishnamurti and Lao Tzu were pointing to is not in the words, books or video tapes, by technologies modeling as metaphor what this holistic ground or

world view looks like, those engaged with these technologies can, to a limited extent, awaken this in users. Like books, and perhaps more directly, humanized machines, as metaphor, can reinforce the need for each learner to gain the direct experiences they missed, not found in words, or machines, rather in the natural world and in each human relationship and model this new for children.

As the adult models what they have discover and experience, the next generation will normalize this naturally, without effort. Monkey see, monkey do. The human mind and its Dark Age relationship to knowledge and machines will change.

m