

Barry Trower former government agent specilaizing in how micorwave redation is used by the military.

See Links below.

"Government, Industry and Government Scientists will be responsible for more deaths (of civilians) in peace time than all the terrorist organizations ever." The evidence I have is showing this is correct. I put my money where my mouth is and stand my ground.

Barrie Trower

I trained at the Governments Microwave Warfare establishment in 60's. I worked with the underwater bomb disposal unit, which used microwaves. In the 70's I helped de-brief spies trained in microwave warfare.

My first degree is in Physics (I specialized in microwaves)

My second degree is a research degree.

I have a teaching diploma in human physiology.

I teach advanced physics and mathematics at South Dartmoor College.

Author of the Tetra Report [Tetra a telecommunications system used by police]

I predicted the illnesses, which the officers now complain of. I predicted the illness's the residents now complain of.

Secret Report On Cell Phone Dangers And Tetra

Confidential Report On TETRA Strictly For The Police Federation Of England and Wales

By B. Trower

11-25-4

<http://rense.com/general60/tetra.htm>

Low-level microwaves have been known as very dangerous to our soft, water-based bodies since the 1970's, when Government Scientists warned the military, at the time, against exposure (enclosed). It makes absolutely no difference which box they come out of: be it TETRA/02/Airwave [police telecommunication system] – or any of the mobiles. The pulsing (modulations), power-density and frequency may cause variations with some people – but you cannot change the risk factor of the basic microwaves. Consultant Solicitor Alan Meyer said "It is quite simple – an employer must provide a safe system of work": **You cannot – and will never – be able to demonstrate that long-term, low-level microwaves are safe.**

From the Karolinska Institute (Nobel Prize Institute)

Department of Neuroscience, Stockholm, Sweden

February 3, 2011

Scientists Urge Halt of Wireless Rollout and Call for New Safety Standards: Warning Issued on Risks to Children and Pregnant Women

"Scientists who study radiofrequency radiation from wireless technologies have issued a scientific statement warning that exposures may be harming the development of children at levels now commonly found in the environment. Pregnant women are cautioned to avoid using wireless devices themselves and distance themselves from other users..."

"Current US (Canada) and ICNIRP standards for radiofrequency and microwave radiation from wireless technologies are entirely inadequate. They never were intended to address the kind of exposures from wireless devices that now affect over 4 billion people."

"The combined effect of cell phones, cordless phones, cell towers, WI-FI and wireless internet place billions of people around the world at risk for cancer, neurological disease and reproductive and developmental impairments."

"We are already seeing increases in health problems such as cancer and neurobehavioural impairments, even though these wireless technologies are fairly new in the last decades or so for the general public. This finding suggests that the exposures are already too high to protect people from health harm."

10 minute radio interview with Berry Trower

<http://vimeo.com/48060198>

radio interview barry trower former Spy debriefer from the UK government / military

<http://www.yourepeat.com/g/BarrieTrower> video collection

<http://www.sott.net/articles/show/207247-Electromagnetic-radiation-and-its-effect-on-the-brain-an-insider-speaks-out>

<http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barrie-trower-parts-1.html> part 1

<http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave.html> part 2

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_11.html part 3

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_12.html part 4

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_13.html part 5

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_14.html part 6

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_15.html part 7

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_16.html part 8

http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/07/interview-with-barry-trower-microwave_17.html part 9

<http://stopsmartmetersirvine.com/2012/02/10/barry-trower-on-wi-fi-radiation-we-are-risking-the-future-generations-of-all-the-children-in-the-world/>

Haarp connections and microwaves

<http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2012/08/haarp-weather-altering-earthquake.html>

"Only a small percentage of the military's atmospheric modification projects are visibly obvious. What we can't see is equally dangerous. The ionosphere, the earth and its inhabitants are continually bombarded with high frequency microwaves used to manipulate the charged atmosphere for weather modification, information gathering and for tectonic (earth-quake-producing) weaponry. Independent chemtrail researcher Clifford Carnicom confirms that we are also continuously subjected to extremely low electromagnetic frequencies (ELF) pulsing at 4 hertz multiples, frequencies known to profoundly affect human biological and mental functioning."

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vF5ArGid5QE&feature=related>

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=8YBxyY8FoOs#!

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o4J4w7YKqdg&feature=related>

GSM ten times more radiation

http://www.yourepeat.com/watch/?v=ZdB-tbzJSrk&feature=youtube_gdata

[20:28 – 28:00](#)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vF5ArGid5QE&feature=related>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o4J4w7YKqdg&feature=related>

GSM ten times more radiation

http://www.yourepeat.com/watch/?v=ZdB-tbzJSrk&feature=youtube_gdata

(NEW YORK) -- Scientists are calling into question a study published last year that failed to find a link between cellphone use and brain tumors in children and teens. They say the study actually shows that cellphone use more than doubles the risk of brain tumors in children and adolescents.

The concerns come from the Environmental Health Trust, a group whose stated mission is to promote awareness of environmental issues they believe are linked to cancer.

In July 2011, the *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* published the first study on cellphone use and risk of brain tumors in children and adolescents, which was conducted by researchers at the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute. The scientists interviewed children and teens in Norway, Denmark, Switzerland and Sweden about their cellphone use and also collected cellphone records for a portion of them. Of the children studied, 350 had been diagnosed with brain cancer and 650 of them were healthy.

The July paper concluded that the data showed no link between cellphone use and brain tumors and "argues against a causal association" between the two.

In a letter published Thursday in the journal, the Environmental Health Trust said the interpretation of the study's results was flawed and contained several statistical errors.

Lloyd Morgan, a senior research fellow at the Environmental Health Trust and one of the authors of the letter, called the study "sloppy" and said the data reported in the original study actually shows that children who used cellphones had a 115-percent increased risk of brain tumors over those who did not.

"There's every indication that this study actually found that children have a doubled risk of brain cancer," Morgan said. "For them to just state that we don't think there's a problem is, for me, quite mystifying."

Messages to the journal and the authors of the original study asking for comment were not returned.

The authors of the original study do note some limitations of their work, including that a relatively small number of children were studied. They also wrote that they could not "rule out the possibility that mobile phones confer a small increase in risk."

International concern over the potential health risks posed by cellphones has gone on for years. In May, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer put the devices in the same category as lead and engine exhaust, citing the possibility that long-term exposure to cellphone radiation could have long-term health effects. Roughly 30 studies so far have failed to draw a conclusive link.

In October, the Environmental Health Trust also criticized the test used by the Federal Communications Commission to measure cellphone radiation, saying the measure did not accurately reflect the radiation transmitted to children and adults while using cellphones.

Concerns over risks to children are particularly heightened, considering the rising use of cellphones among kids and teens and the fear that children's developing brains might be more susceptible to the effects of cellphone radiation.

Read On ABC News Radio:

<http://abcnewsradioonline.com/health-news/cellphones-and-cancer-critics-say-kids-risk-brain-tumors.html#ixzz2DUJby2fQ>

From the Karolinska Institute

(Nobel Prize Institute)

Department of Neuroscience, Stockholm, Sweden

February 3, 2011

Scientists Urge Halt of Wireless Rollout and Call for New Safety Standards: Warning Issued on Risks to Children and Pregnant Women

"Scientists who study radiofrequency radiation from wireless technologies have issued a scientific statement warning that exposures may be harming the development of children at levels now commonly found in the environment. Pregnant women are cautioned to avoid using wireless devices themselves and distance themselves from other users..."

"Current US (Canada) and ICNIRP standards for radiofrequency and microwave radiation from wireless technologies are entirely inadequate. They never were intended to address the kind of exposures from wireless devices that now affect over 4 billion people."

"The combined effect of cell phones, cordless phones, cell towers, WI-FI and wireless internet place billions of people around the world at risk for cancer, neurological disease and reproductive and developmental impairments."

"We are already seeing increases in health problems such as cancer and neurobehavioural impairments, even though these wireless technologies are fairly new in the last decades or so for the general public. This finding suggests that the exposures are already too high to protect people from health harm."

"Safety standards also ignore the developing fetus, and young children who are more affected."

"The Scientific Panel urges a halt to the rollout of new wireless technologies, especially those that cause exposures for pregnant women and for children."

The Seletun Scientific Statement

1) Low-intensity (non-thermal) bioeffects and adverse health effects are demonstrated at levels significantly below existing exposure standards for telecommunications and power utility technologies in developed and developing countries.

2) ICNIRP and IEEE/FCC (Health Canada) public safety limits are inadequate and obsolete with respect to prolonged, low-intensity exposures common today.

3) New, biologically-based public exposure standards are urgently needed to protect public health world-wide.

4) It is not in the public interest to wait. Instead, governments should take decisive action now to protect biological function as well as the health of future generations.

A rapidly accumulating body of scientific evidence of harm to health and well-being constitute warnings that adverse health effects can occur with short-term and prolonged exposures to very low-intensity

EMF at biologically active frequencies or frequency combinations.

1. The Global Population Is At Risk.

2. Sensitive Populations Are Currently Vulnerable. Sensitive populations (for example, the elderly, the ill, the genetically and/or immunologically challenged) and children and fetuses may be additionally vulnerable to health risks; their exposures are largely involuntary and they are less protected by existing public safety standards; and they may amount to 40-50% of the population;

3. Government Actions Are Warranted Now Based on Evidence of Serious Disruption to Biological Systems... the consequence of doing nothing in the short term may cause irreparable public health and economic harm, where the populations potentially at risk are very large, where there are alternatives without similar risks, or where the exposures are largely involuntary;

4. The Burden of Proof for the Safety of Radiation-Emitting Technologies Should Fall on Producers and Providers Not Consumers.

5. EMF Exposures Should Be Reduced in Advance of Complete Understanding of Mechanisms of Action.

6. The Current Accepted Measure of Radiation Risk—the Specific Absorption Rate ('SAR')—Is Inadequate, and Misguides on Safety and Risk.

New Recommended Exposure Standards

Based on power density measurements, the Seletun Scientific Panel finds sufficient evidence for a whole-body scientific benchmark for adverse health effect exists down to 0.17 mW/m² (also 0.000017 mW/cm² = 0.017 μW/cm²). It is approx. 50,000 – 60,000 times lower than the current ICNIRP/FCC standards. This may need to be lowered in the future.

Editor: Current exposure standard upheld by Health Canada is at 1,000μW/cm²(microwatts/cm²). The above recommendation is at 0.017 μW/cm². The standards set by governments for most of the other countries are currently at 10 μW/cm² or less (down to 0.02μW). Yes, our ridiculously lenient exposure limit is 58,824 times higher than this recommendation based on the latest scientific research. Our government is NOT taking the "Precautionary Principle" to protect Canadians. Please see "The Essential Message" on this website for more on the comparison.

Karolinska Institute is Europe's highest-ranked university in Clinical Medicine and Pharmacy. Karolinska is world-renowned for its achievements in medical and biomedical research in all disease areas. Particular strengths are in neuroscience, cancer biology, immunology, and metabolic diseases. The Nobel Assembly at Karolinska Institute appoints the laureates for the "Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine".

The "Precautionary Principle" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precautionary_principle

"The Essential Message" <http://www.safeinschool.org/2011/01/health-canadas-safety-standard-on.html>

Full Transcript of The Seletun Scientific Statement <http://electromagnetichealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/02/Seletun-Transcript.pdf>

Full Text of the Press Release http://electromagnetichealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/02/Karolinska_Institute_press_release1.pdf

Video of Professor Olle Johansson's Announcing Seletun Scientific Statement:

<http://vimeo.com/18018440>

The guy in this video is Barrie Trower - a scientist who worked for the British Military Intelligence for 11 years and he specialized in microwave and stealth weapons.

You can also listen to our Mum's excellent teleseminar with Dr. Magda Havas PhD, where they discuss microwave radiation in our homes, schools, and how to protect ourselves.

1. Children's brains are way smaller, so it affects us way more. And we have more water in children's brains so electromagnetic radiation is conducted faster and stronger.

"Of particular significance for children are studies which indicate that children absorb more radiation in the brain than adults which may indicate a greater risk of brain tumours."

"A two-minute cell phone call alters a child's brain function for an hour."

(Source: The child scrambler - What a mobile can do to a youngster's brain in 2 minutes, UK Sunday Mirror, April 1, 2004.)

2. Cell phones have up to 2.4 ghz frequency, but wireless can have up to 6 ghz frequency. But scientists say it is not the frequency that is so important, but the second carrier wave (the information carrying radio wave - ICRW) and the fact that it is pulsed, that is the main health problem. All these countries have banned wifi in schools, why can't we?

"The Public Health Department of Salzburg has warned that WiFi should not be put in schools or nurseries. The Austrian Medical Association is lobbying against the deployment of WiFi in schools."

"The Bavarian Parliament has recommended that no schools in the province use wireless LAN networks. The Frankfurt City Government said that it would not install WiFi in its schools until it had been shown to be harmless."

"Professor Johansson at the Department of Neuroscience at the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm has sent a letter advising against the use of WiFi to Swedish School Governors."

3. Children absorb more radiation than adults, so the risk for kids is much higher than for adults.

"The Stewart Report (2000) states that children absorb more energy per Kg of body weight from an external electromagnetic field than do adults."

and

"A 5 year old will absorb around 60% more than an adult."

"Exposures in bone marrow may be up to ten times greater in children than adults." (Microwave news, 2008, 22nd July)

4. Radiation disturbs our learning and damages our brain function.

"Scientists have discovered that a call lasting just two minutes on a cell phone can alter the natural electrical activity of a child's brain for up to an hour afterwards.

And they also found for the first time how radio waves from mobile phones penetrate deep into the brain and not just around the ear.

The study by Spanish scientists has prompted leading medical experts to question whether it is safe for children to use mobile phones at all.

Doctors fear that disturbed brain activity in children could lead to psychiatric and behavioural problems or impair learning ability.

It was the first time that human guinea pigs were used to measure the effects of mobile phone radiation on children. The tests were carried out on an 11-year-old boy and a 13-year-old girl called Jennifer."

(*The child scrambler—What a mobile can do to a youngster's brain in 2 minutes", UK Sunday Mirror, April 1, 2004.)

You may wonder what this has to do with WiFi - well, WiFi is THREE TIMES stronger than cell phone radiation

5. People getting sick from WiFi radiation today have exactly the same things wrong with their body as the radar operators during World War 2 - when they were using the same microwave radiation to find out where the enemy planes were.

"What you need to know is that our federal guidelines are based on a thermal (heating) effect. If the radiation doesn't heat your body it is assumed to be safe. This is NOT true. We now have plenty of scientific evidence showing that we have adverse biological and health effects at levels well below the thermal guidelines.

Microwave radiation was used for the first time during the 2nd world war as radar, locating enemy aircrafts. We are now using the same frequencies to heat our food (microwave ovens), to make phone calls (cell phones and cordless phones), to listen to our babies (wireless baby monitors), to connect to the internet (WiFi and wireless routers), to submit our electricity usage (smart meters), to protect our homes (wireless monitors) . . .

There is only so much of this radiation that people can tolerate before they become sick, and people are becoming sick. The illness is called electrohypersensitivity (EHS) and is virtually identical to microwave sickness experienced by radar operators during the second world war."

(Source: Magda Havas, PhD (Associate Professor of Environmental & Resource Studies, Trent University)

What's the difference between WiFi and WiMAX?

WiMAX is way stronger than WiFi.

WiMAX is a type of wireless Internet service. People call it "Wi-Fi on steroids" - like those bodybuilders who are so pumped up they don't even look human. WiMAX uses centrally placed masts (like cell phone towers) to transmit high-speed internet across towns and the countryside.

In order to transmit over a really large area of land, the WiMAX towers are allowed to operate at power levels way above those of WiFi masts. And the Internet receiver units, which are inside your laptop or computer, emit microwaves at up to twice the power level of Wi-Fi.

So, if we already know that WiFi is really bad for you and is making thousands of kids sick, what do you think WiMAX is going to do to us?

Many universities have already installed WiMAX across their campus - so where the heck are we going to go (when we get old enough)? At least there is one university that has banned WiFi - I guess their director has a degree in Biology so he understands the science better.

Lastly, here's a really good article from a South African health magazine about the dangers of wireless - give this to all the adults you know! And don't miss our Mum's teleseminar on WiFi Safety & Protection with radiation expert Dr. Magda Havas.

<http://www.celltowerdangers.org/wifi-dangers.html>