

Why Not?

We are setting ourselves up for a new pandemic - Zach Bush, MD, VR Interview

Patrick: Vaccines are traditionally gauged by, *is there antibody production?* That infers immunity. But, really doesn't. There are many things that happen in the immune system before antibodies are produced. If they are ever produced. When a vaccine manufacture says the vaccine is ninety-four percent effective, what they're saying is; we were able to find an antibody in ninety-four percent of the people that we gave the vaccine to in their blood later. That doesn't mean they have protection or immunity; it just means that they got the body to artificially produce antibodies.

ZB: Even if they show a ninety-four percent clinical outcome, saying 186 people that presented with symptoms consistent with COVID-19 in the controls, 16 in the group that were treated with vaccine. Then they say, "See, we had a clinical result." They are talking about COVID-19, which is not a virus; it's a syndrome, not SARS COV-2. If they really measured it from SARS COV-2, with PCR, they'd show no change. But, the syndromic quality to their reporting is allowing them to highlight this fight-or-flight phenomenon, or you-need-to-attack-before-it-attacks-you narrative about how vaccines work. That's inaccurate.

What they are really saying is, there is less inflammatory consequences to seeing this genetic information. A scary thought is that there are multiple systems that are now being dysregulated. We know that that antibody presence doesn't stop the virus from coming in. **It has changed your relationship to your response, not just the virus, but actually the proteins that you can make. When you're making a lot of antibodies to a protein that would be made from this new genetic update, you're not actually getting the update.** If your body is trying to make the new protein to make a more robust inflammatory response against some toxin in your environment, or some ecological threat that has now emerged... Coronavirus has now emerged as an adapted genetic sequence to making a protein to adapt away from that toxin, that's the kind of sequences that are likely occurring around us. Yet, we're not telling that bigger story. We're telling this very narrow picture.

I'm concerned that if we put into widespread use this vaccine, which is frightening because it's a very specific type of new experience—we've never done messenger RNA and targeted an antibody before. We're now targeting something that is very common in our bloodstream. That's a whole different story.

We are now blunting whatever update they were having, which we now know from the flu vaccine, if we blunt the genetic information from flu, we have decreased ability to resist coronaviruses, echoviruses, adenoviruses, other respiratory viruses in our environment. We can't have the same relationship with them, so we are more prone to complications from these things. What are we predisposing this generation to? The answer is, *something we've never seen before.*

We are setting ourselves up for a new pandemic in the subsequent years as we reach some sort of critical mass of global population that are vaccinated to have an artificial adaptive immune system experience with the coronavirus. We're setting ourselves up for an unseen threat in nature that's going to be thrown off in its relationship in homeostasis or balance with our future immune systems as a species.

That's frightening; we are opening up Pandora's box by doing something we've never done before at a higher level of intervention than we've ever done before in our population, and we don't have a clue as to what we're setting ourselves up for just in the infectious disease category.

We know the microbiome is really critical, and the way in which we interact with the microbiome is critical to our immune system's intelligence; around autoimmune disease, what we are doing knowing this becomes even more frightening. The amount of autoimmune disease that we can see in the next five years could be devastating. We've already seen this happen.

Every time we've introduced a new vaccine, starting all the way back in the 1950s, every new vaccine has correlated within three to five years, a jump in autoimmune diseases in the population that we've vaccinated. I'm confident that we're going to have a devastating effect on global immunity and its intelligence. We're losing our self-identity as a human, as our immune system gets more and more activated to our own cells.

Rheumatoid arthritis is the attack on the human joint from the human immune system. Type I diabetes is the attack of the beta islet cells in the pancreas from the human immune system. Hoshimoto's hypothyroidism; the human immune system attacking the human thyroid gland. That's what we mean by *autoimmunity*; it is your own immune system attacking your own body.

It's not surprising that a screw up of the innate immune system by an abnormal stimulus, will affect all the proteins and all the regulatory steps that would be achieved through the innate immune system. It's an artificial chain, and **we are adapting the immune system without the intelligence of the innate immune system**. Now the innate immune system gets confused, like, *What's this antibody? Why are we being stimulated with macrophage to knock out all these human cells with this? Oh, maybe those are not actually us. If the macrophages think they need to go clean up the thyroid, that must be foreign material.* The innate immune system changes that relationship and opens the floodgates on the adaptive immune system to attack the thyroid gland.

It's very frightening to go mucking with something as eloquent and symphonic in its complexity as the innate immune system, and its relationship to the adaptive immune system. **We are about to do something terrifying. That's three to five years out.**

One of the main concerns in the short run is that a small percentage of people who get these RNA vaccines will create a hyper antigen response, which means the next time they see coronavirus, they are going to have an exponential increase in risk of morbidity and mortality from seeing that coronavirus.

I believe we are about to see this in a significant portion of the population—this isn't going to be a majority—but, five to ten percent of the vaccinated group. **If we roll this out to a million people, you can take five percent of that and trust this group is going to have a very high likelihood of dying from coronavirus next year, especially if you're vaccinating the elderly or people with high comorbidities, which is exactly who we're going to go vaccinate.**

We're going to vaccinate a population and next year or the year after, we're going to see the highest deaths from coronavirus in history, because coronavirus has never caused much mortality. We're about to really achieve the CDCs projections that this is thirty times more deadly than the flu. And we're going to cause it by this hyper reaction to the RNA strand, this hyper antigen effect that's been long recognized in these RNA vaccines.

This is a known phenomenon; pharmaceutical companies know it; regulatory groups like the FDA know it; the NIH knows it; the CDC knows it; the WHO knows it. This is an inherent problem to RNA vaccines. Yet we are doing this to the population, especially, "make sure those low socioeconomic minority communities really know they need this vaccine."

We are going to see a devastating event here. **This might be the new holocaust of our generation—meted out through the human immune system for the first time. This is a tragedy that is completely avoidable, and the science is sitting in front of us. It's sitting there, but . . . I can talk to anybody in the world, from my own family to doctors to the CDC, and the levels of denial that the human mind can put into play make all of my words useless.**

I'm no longer offended. Initially, I was frustrated and offended. Now, I'm really interested to see what we do as a population. **There are a lot of scientists banging the drum now, saying, "We are doing the wrong thing!" Yet, the population is not confident enough, yet, by that other narrative, even though they are the only ones presenting science.** The NIH is not presenting any science; the CDC is not showing us any safety data that would be at all reassuring that this is actually the right thing to do. Yet, we have healthcare practitioners lining up to get this thing sight unseen, science misunderstood, adaptive immune system prophets and proselytizers, they don't even know what the innate immune system is, and they are rushing for this thing.

I'm so curious; what does this mean for humanity? Obviously this journey, however it unfolds in 2021, is the journey we need. In a weird way, I sit here in a state of grief for humanity, and a state of awe for our gullible nature, the power of collusion with the narratives, the power of that fight-or-flight / fear-guilt paradigm, and I'm curious to see what journey humanity needs next year.

Their ‘Vaccines’ Are not vaccines, they are Genetic-Engineered Drugs - Tom Cowan MD

We are living in the midst of world-shaking, cosmic events, the likes of which most people never get to experience. We are finding new friends, finding our courage, and many of us are finding our voices as we speak out against the treachery we see all around us. Many of us are finding our way back to divine inspiration and new meaning in our lives. We all have to admit and accept that we simply can't and don't know where this all will lead, but that has always been the case. Now, more than ever, it's time to enjoy the ride.

Today I want to address two things that are commonly referred to as “conspiracy theories” in the mainstream narrative. The first is that some of us are saying researchers have never isolated the “corona virus” nor proven that it exists. Proving the existence of the virus is, obviously, the first step in showing that it could cause a disease called COVID-19. The corollary to this claim is that any so-called COVID vaccine can't possibly be based on something that is actually from a virus, as the virus has never been found.

An email correspondence was sent to me this morning from a woman who asked Pfizer to describe what the company is using as the template for its new vaccine. Here is what the customer-service person said to her in writing:

When asked where the DNA template for the virus came from, she replied:

“The DNA template used does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person.”

The statement begs the question, “so where does the genetic material being put in the vaccine actually come from?” Here is the same person's response to this question:

“The DNA template (SARS-Cov2, Gen Bank:MN9089473) was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology.”

In other words, as I and others have said, they are injecting people with GMO products for who knows what reason. Or, as I jokingly like to say, at least you won't have to worry about eating GMO food after the “vaccine” as you, yourself, have been GMO'd.

One of the main points here is this drug is NOT a vaccine in any conventional use of that word. It is a genetic-engineered drug designed to have its effect through some sort of modification of *your* DNA. If that isn't a scary proposition, I don't know what is.

The second “conspiracy theory” that the mainstream media has “debunked” is that the Moderna “vaccine” is actually an operating system designed to allow an interface between the human being and some computer network. As always, I trust that no one would believe me for such an outlandish claim, but what does Moderna, the Gates-funded maker of one of the major “vaccines” now being used, actually say? Here are words from their own website:

“Recognizing the broad potential of mRNA science, we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer. It is designed so that it can plug and play interchangeably with different programs. In our case, the “program” or “app” is our mRNA drug — the unique mRNA sequence that codes for a protein.”

Two things stand out in this statement. First, if the idea that mRNA “vaccines” are meant to be operating systems is a hoax, then apparently Moderna is in on the hoax. How could the company make it clearer that this is the point of their mRNA drugs?

Second, this mRNA “vaccine” is no vaccine at all. Not that any vaccine is any good, but this product is an mRNA drug. So why call it a vaccine? Simple. Most people think vaccines are “good,” so that helps with marketing. More important, though, is that calling this drug a vaccine allows the company to escape any liability if people are harmed. If it were classified as a drug and the company were sued, it would have to produce science showing it actually works and is safe. It would also have to pay damages if found liable. With vaccines, none of this applies.

I have wrestled during these Holy Nights with the question of whether human beings who willingly agree to have an mRNA operating system downloaded into their bodies can claim to be free, spiritual beings

again. Frankly, I don't accept that sending your child to a Waldorf school, or meditating daily, or eating the perfect food will somehow mitigate the damage to the human being that will result from the choice of getting these drugs. I believe we are at a crossroads, and this is the free human being's chance to say "not me." All it takes is courage.

In the words of Alexander Solzhenitsyn:

"You can resolve to live your life with integrity. Let your credo be this: Let the lie come into the world, let it even triumph. But not through me."

Thomas Cowan, MD

This is not a vaccine – David Martin

"Let's make sure we are clear... This is not a vaccine. They are using the term "vaccine" to sneak this thing under public health exemptions. This is not a vaccine.

This is mRNA packaged in a fat envelope that is delivered to a cell. It is a medical device designed to stimulate the human cell into becoming a pathogen creator. It is not a vaccine. Vaccines actually are a legally defined term under public health law; they are a legally defined term under CDC and FDA standards.[1] And the vaccine specifically has to stimulate both the immunity within the person receiving it and it also has to disrupt transmission.

That is not what this is. They (Moderna and Pfizer) have been abundantly clear in saying that the mRNA strand that is going into the cell is not to stop the transmission, it is a treatment. But if it was discussed as a treatment, it would not get the sympathetic ear of public health authorities because then people would say, "What other treatments are there?"

The use of the term vaccine is unconscionable for both the legal definition and also it is actually the sucker punch to open and free discourse... Moderna was started as a chemotherapy company for cancer, not a vaccine manufacturer for SARSCOV2. If we said we are going to give people prophylactic chemotherapy for the cancer they don't yet have, we'd be laughed out of the room because it's a stupid idea. That's exactly what this is. This is a mechanical device in the form of a very small package of technology that is being inserted into the human system to activate the cell to become a pathogen manufacturing site.

And I refuse to stipulate in any conversations that this is in fact a vaccine issue. The only reason why the term is being used is to abuse the 1905 Jacobson case that has been misrepresented since it was written. And if we were honest with this, we would actually call it what it is: it is a chemical pathogen device that is actually meant to unleash a chemical pathogen production action within a cell. It is a medical device, not a drug because it meets the CDRH definition of a device. It is not a living system, it is not a biologic system, it is a physical technology - it happens to just come in the size of a molecular package.

So, we need to be really clear on making sure we don't fall for their game. Because their game is if we talk about it as a vaccine then we are going to get into a vaccine conversation but this is not, by their own admission, a vaccine. As a result, it must be clear to everyone listening that we will not fall for this failed definition just like we will not fall for their industrial chemical definition of health. Both of them are functionally flawed and are an implicit violation of the legal construct that is being exploited. I get frustrated when I hear activists and lawyers say, "we are going to fight the vaccine". If you stipulate it's a vaccine you've already lost the battle. It's not a vaccine. It is made to make you sick.

80% of the people exposed to SARSCOV2 are asymptomatic carriers. 80% of people who get this injected into them experience a clinical adverse event. You are getting injected with a chemical substance to induce illness, not to induce an immuno-transmissive response. In other words, nothing about this is going to stop you from transmitting anything. This is about getting you sick and having your own cells be the thing that get you sick.

When the paymaster for the distribution of information happens to be the industry that's doing the distributing, we lose. Because the only narrative is the one that will be compensated by the people

writing the check. That goes for our politicians... and our media - it has been paid for - if you follow the money you realize there is no non-conflicted voice on any network."

- Dr. David Martin, Jan 5th 2021.