

# This is not a Vaccine

## **Their ‘Vaccines’ Are not vaccines, they are Genetic-Engineered Drugs**

Tom Cowan, MD

We are living in the midst of world-shaking, cosmic events, the likes of which most people never get to experience. We are finding new friends, finding our courage, and many of us are finding our voices as we speak out against the treachery we see all around us. Many of us are finding our way back to divine inspiration and new meaning in our lives. We all have to admit and accept that we simply can't and don't know where this all will lead, but that has always been the case. Now, more than ever, it's time to enjoy the ride.

Today I want to address two things that are commonly referred to as “conspiracy theories” in the mainstream narrative. The first is that some of us are saying researchers have never isolated the “corona virus” nor proven that it exists. Proving the existence of the virus is, obviously, the first step in showing that it could cause a disease called COVID-19. The corollary to this claim is that any so-called COVID vaccine can't possibly be based on something that is actually from a virus, as the virus has never been found.

An email correspondence was sent to me this morning from a woman who asked Pfizer to describe what the company is using as the template for its new vaccine. Here is what the customer-service person said to her in writing:

When asked where the DNA template for the virus came from, she replied:

“The DNA template used does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person.”

The statement begs the question, “so where does the genetic material being put in the vaccine actually come from?” Here is the same person's response to this question:

“The DNA template (SARS-Cov2, Gen Bank:MN9089473) was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology.”

In other words, as I and others have said, they are injecting people with GMO products for who knows what reason. Or, as I jokingly like to say, at least you won't have to worry about eating GMO food after the “vaccine” as you, yourself, have been GMO'd.

One of the main points here is this drug is NOT a vaccine in any conventional use of that word. It is a genetic-engineered drug designed to have its effect through some sort of modification of *your* DNA. If that isn't a scary proposition, I don't know what is.

The second “conspiracy theory” that the mainstream media has “debunked” is that the Moderna “vaccine” is actually an operating system designed to allow an interface between the human being and some computer network. As always, I trust that no one would believe me for such an outlandish claim, but what does Moderna, the Gates-funded maker of one of the major “vaccines” now being used, actually say? Here are words from their own website:

“Recognizing the broad potential of mRNA science, we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer. It is designed so that it can plug and play interchangeably with different programs. In our case, the “program” or “app” is our mRNA drug — the unique mRNA sequence that codes for a protein.”

Two things stand out in this statement. First, if the idea that mRNA “vaccines” are meant to be operating systems is a hoax, then apparently Moderna is in on the hoax. How could the company make it clearer that this is the point of their mRNA drugs?

Second, this mRNA “vaccine” is no vaccine at all. Not that any vaccine is any good, but this product is an mRNA drug. So why call it a vaccine? Simple. Most people think vaccines are “good,” so that helps with marketing. More important, though, is that calling this drug a vaccine allows the company to escape any liability if people are harmed. If it were classified as a drug and the company were sued, it would have to

produce science showing it actually works and is safe. It would also have to pay damages if found liable. With vaccines, none of this applies.

I have wrestled during these Holy Nights with the question of whether human beings who willingly agree to have an mRNA operating system downloaded into their bodies can claim to be free, spiritual beings again. Frankly, I don't accept that sending your child to a Waldorf school, or meditating daily, or eating the perfect food will somehow mitigate the damage to the human being that will result from the choice of getting these drugs. I believe we are at a crossroads, and this is the free human being's chance to say "not me." All it takes is courage.

In the words of Alexander Solzhenitsyn:

"You can resolve to live your life with integrity. Let your credo be this: Let the lie come into the world, let it even triumph. But not through me."

Thomas Cowan, MD

### **This is not a vaccine – David Martin**

"Let's make sure we are clear... This is not a vaccine. They are using the term "vaccine" to sneak this thing under public health exemptions. This is not a vaccine.

This is mRNA packaged in a fat envelope that is delivered to a cell. It is a medical device designed to stimulate the human cell into becoming a pathogen creator. It is not a vaccine. Vaccines actually are a legally defined term under public health law; they are a legally defined term under CDC and FDA standards.[1] And the vaccine specifically has to stimulate both the immunity within the person receiving it and it also has to disrupt transmission.

That is not what this is. They (Moderna and Pfizer) have been abundantly clear in saying that the mRNA strand that is going into the cell is not to stop the transmission, it is a treatment. But if it was discussed as a treatment, it would not get the sympathetic ear of public health authorities because then people would say, "What other treatments are there?"

The use of the term vaccine is unconscionable for both the legal definition and also it is actually the sucker punch to open and free discourse... Moderna was started as a chemotherapy company for cancer, not a vaccine manufacturer for SARSCOV2. If we said we are going to give people prophylactic chemotherapy for the cancer they don't yet have, we'd be laughed out of the room because it's a stupid idea. That's exactly what this is. This is a mechanical device in the form of a very small package of technology that is being inserted into the human system to activate the cell to become a pathogen manufacturing site.

And I refuse to stipulate in any conversations that this is in fact a vaccine issue. The only reason why the term is being used is to abuse the 1905 Jacobson case that has been misrepresented since it was written. And if we were honest with this, we would actually call it what it is: it is a chemical pathogen device that is actually meant to unleash a chemical pathogen production action within a cell. It is a medical device, not a drug because it meets the CDRH definition of a device. It is not a living system, it is not a biologic system, it is a physical technology - it happens to just come in the size of a molecular package.


So, we need to be really clear on making sure we don't fall for their game. Because their game is if we talk about it as a vaccine then we are going to get into a vaccine conversation but this is not, by their own admission, a vaccine. As a result, it must be clear to everyone listening that we will not fall for this failed definition just like we will not fall for their industrial chemical definition of health. Both of them are functionally flawed and are an implicit violation of the legal construct that is being exploited. I get frustrated when I hear activists and lawyers say, "we are going to fight the vaccine". If you stipulate it's a vaccine you've already lost the battle. It's not a vaccine. It is made to make you sick.

80% of the people exposed to SARSCOV2 are asymptomatic carriers. 80% of people who get this injected into them experience a clinical adverse event. You are getting injected with a chemical substance to

induce illness, not to induce an immuno-transmissive response. In other words, nothing about this is going to stop you from transmitting anything. This is about getting you sick and having your own cells be the thing that get you sick.


When the paymaster for the distribution of information happens to be the industry that's doing the distributing, we lose. Because the only narrative is the one that will be compensated by the people writing the check. That goes for our politicians... and our media - it has been paid for - if you follow the money you realize there is no non-conflicted voice on any network."

- Dr. David Martin, Jan 5th 2021.



For a comprehensive review of the vaccine history please review Virus Mania. We can't accurately assess the present if we don't know the past. Don't know the history? Then suspend any judgement you have about the present.

Next consider



Next ponder the research: The US has the costliest health care in the world with the poorest outcomes. Of all industrialized countries we are at the bottom in mother-infant mortality. From Johns Hopkins: medical incompetence is the third leading cause of death in the United States.

Finally, Who Killed the Frogs – attached.

Michael Mendizza